(2020) 8:42

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access

Association between low pH and unfavorable neurological outcome among out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients treated by extracorporeal CPR: do not dismiss confounders!



Romain Jouffroy^{*} and Benoît Vivien

Abstract

Recently, Okada et al. reported an association between low pH value before the implementation of extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (ECPR) and 1-month unfavorable neurological outcome among out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) patients treated with ECPR.

Nevertheless, we believe that some methodological flaws deserve their conclusions.

The time duration between OHCA occurrence and blood gas analysis (BGA), a major confounder for misinterpretation, was not taken into account. It is not reported whether the result of BGA analysis was considered and/or treated, and if ECPR implementation decision had been influenced by the results analysis. Furthermore, the no-flow duration and the in-hospital phase confounders for neurological outcome are not included as covariates in the logistic regression. Therefore, we believe that causes and consequences should not be confused: the longer is the no-flow duration, the greater are the metabolic consequences.

Keywords: Out of hospital cardiac arrest, pH, ECPR, Outcome, Confounders

To the editor:

In the May issue of the Journal, Okada et al. [1] reported in a multi-institutional observational study an association between low pH value (< 7.03) before the implementation of extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (ECPR) and 1-month unfavorable neurological outcome among out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) patients treated with ECPR. They also suggested that pH value may be helpful to consider the candidate for ECPR.

* Correspondence: romain.jouffroy@aphp.fr

SAMU de Paris, Service d'Anesthésie Réanimation, Hôpital Universitaire Necker - Enfants Malades, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, AP-HP, Centre and Université de Paris, Paris, France



Undoubtedly, the authors should be congratulated for their noteworthy study on question at the utmost importance. Nevertheless, we do think that their result interpretation requires some words of caution.

Firstly, the authors do not take into account the time duration between OHCA occurrence and blood gas analysis (BGA), which is a major confounder for misinterpretation. Among the 3 tertiles of patients based on the pH value in the BGA, as compared with tertile 1, the median duration is 4.5 min longer for tertile 2 and 8.5 min longer for tertile 3. Such time differences may by themselves fully explain a part of differences in acidaemia depth between the 3 tertiles. Secondly, dividing the pH value into 3 tertiles with equivalent sizes assumes that the relation between pH value and survival is linear,

© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

which does not reflect pathophysiology [2]. Thirdly, the authors do not report whether the result of BGA analysis was taken into account in the care delivered decision-making. For example, it is not reported how acidaemia treatment was considered and/or treated, and if ECPR implementation decision had been influenced by the results analysis. Fourthly, from a methodological point of view, the variables included in the multivariate analysis (sex, age, witness of collapse, bystander CPR, prehospital initial rhythm, and initial rhythm on hospital arrival) do not consider the no-flow duration [3] and the in-hospital phase confounders for neurological outcome [4]. Last but not least, ROSC proportions on hospital admission, i.e., before ECPR start, are very different between the tertiles 1, 2, and 3 (respectively 16.3%, 6.8%, and 2%). Thus, we cannot exclude that the higher pH value observed in tertile 1 is not just due to the greater proportion of ROSC in this group that is associated with lower metabolic disturbances.

Therefore, we believe that causes and consequences should not be confused: the longer is the no-flow duration, the greater are the metabolic consequences [5]. Beyond this, the authors' work is very interesting, but more information and conclusions could be drawn by, first, integrating the no-flow duration in the analysis, and second, using the pH value as a continuous variable in the logistic regression.

Abbreviations

BGA: Blood gas analysis; ECPR: Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation; OHCA: Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; ROSC: Return of spontaneous circulation

Acknowledgements

None

Authors' contributions

BV and RJ wrote the manuscript. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None

Availability of data and materials Not applicable

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable

Consent for publication Not applicable

Competing interests

None

Received: 26 May 2020 Accepted: 15 June 2020 Published online: 22 June 2020

References

 Okada Y, Kiguchi T, Irisawa T, Yoshiya K, Yamada T, Hayakawa K, et al. Association between low pH and unfavorable neurological outcome among out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients treated by extracorporeal CPR: a prospective observational cohort study in Japan. J Intensive Care. 2020;8:34.

- Adrie C, Cariou A, Mourvillier B, Laurent I, Dabbane H, Hantala F, et al. Predicting survival with good neurological recovery at hospital admission after successful resuscitation of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: the OHCA score. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:2840–5.
- Adnet F, Triba MN, Borron SW, Lapostolle F, Hubert H, Gueugniaud PY, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation duration and survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients. Resuscitation. 2017;111:74–81.
- Jouffroy R, Vivien B. Positive cultures and clinical outcomes in septic patients: be aware of the influence from patient selection and the inhospital confounders. Crit Care. 2019;23(1):332.
- Jouffroy R, Vivien B. Prognostic value of venous blood analysis at the start of CPR in non-traumatic out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: association with ROSC and the neurological outcome: do not forget the no-flow influence! Crit Care. 2020;24(1):232.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- · thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

